

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 25, 1998 LB 369

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely, there is five minutes remaining.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. Thank you. Senator Kristensen, I actually appreciate your effort to try and clarify the language, because I do know that issue was raised recently by some ophthalmologists who are fearful of the language expanding the scope of practice beyond what was being discussed here. I can tell you that during the hearing on the bill last year and any discussions we've had throughout the process until the very last week or two, the ocular adnexa and the visual system issue that are a part of this bill has never been raised as an expanded scope of practice or having any impact in that regard. So it's only been of late that that concern has been raised by some who have looked at the language and wondered what the definition is. The two points I wanted to make, Senator Kristensen, our first visual system is already part of some of the statute that we have regarding scope of practice. If you look at page 4 on the bill, lines 8, 10, and 12, you'll see "visual system" currently being described in the use of pharmaceutical agents for therapeutic purposes. So the visual system concept is already a part of the statute and doesn't expand anything beyond what has already been the case. Ocular adnexa, the definition as I understand it is inclusion of the eyelid, tear ducts, and muscles and fatty tissues surrounding the eye. And it doesn't expand any sort of ability to move into areas beyond what is currently the practice and the ability to treat glaucoma that this bill authorizes. Beyond that, there is no intent and should be no impact to an expanded scope of practice. I think it's good to clarify that. I think it's fairly clear. And I can tell you that there is no hidden agenda here; no intent to do beyond that. I talked to that...to those who are familiar with the terminology and with this practice. And so I think it's good to clarify. I don't think there's any problem at all with that, and I think the intent on the floor will help clarify that, should the issue come up in the future. So I thank you for that. If you have further questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Kristensen, do you wish the time remaining?